

ALLEGED CRASH RETRIEVAL & REVERSE ENGINEERING

Grusch's claims, encounter symbolism
and the NRGscapes engineering pathway

THE CRAFT IS THE ENGINE

Dr Andrew D. Morgan
NRGscapes LAB / October 2026

A developed craft architecture with research roots

NRGscapes has developed a system architecture that connects materials, fields, power and control.

The research influence

Encounter symbolism and orb observations helped shape questions about active materials and organised boundaries.

The engineering outcome

A coordinated craft concept with defined subsystem roles and an experimental development pathway.



Grusch's crash retrieval and reverse engineering claim

“a multi-decade UAP crash retrieval and reverse engineering program”

What he alleges

Recovered craft and restricted programmes. His hearing testimony adds purported non-human biologies.

What engineering would require

A specimen's provenance, its operating conditions and a reproducible relationship between structure and function.

His public testimony identifies an alleged programme. It does not demonstrate the NRGscapes architecture.

The recent interview broadens the interface question

RECENT GRUSCH DISCUSSION / DISTINCT RESEARCH ROUTES

INTERVIEW TOPIC [17,18]	NRGSCAPES QUESTION	EVIDENCE NEEDED
Plasmoid or craft sheath	Boundary appearance and mechanism	Spectral and field data; model predictions
Bodies associated with recovery	Biological material and an active interface	Authenticated specimen; functional relationship
Organic interface with technology	Sensing and interaction within a system	Recorded input, output and repeatable response

Similar questions do not identify a shared organism, craft or mechanism.

A craft, a biological occupant and an interaction interface would require different evidence.

Historical research provides context

PUBLIC HISTORY / DIFFERENT KINDS OF RECORD

AAWSAP / AATIP

Documented reference studies
Theory and research interest [3]

KONA BLUE

Proposed DHS programme
Not established; no acquired
specimens shown here [5,6]

JULY 2023

House hearing
Recovery allegations and
encounter testimony [1,2]

MARCH 2024

AARO historical assessment
No empirical evidence found of
ET reverse engineering [5]

SEPTEMBER 2025

House transparency hearing
Continued public oversight [7]


NRGSCAPES

Open engineering proposal
Boundary architecture and
test questions [8,9]

Thematic continuity is visible. A shared programme lineage is not established.

Advanced aerospace studies show sustained interest in these questions. They do not establish successful unconventional propulsion.

The experienter study records recurring patterns



41 primary encounters

22 nested subphases

One participant across nearly five decades. Categories overlap and counts are not additive.

Recurring patterns can inform inquiry. Their origin remains unresolved.

Encounter symbolism informed the materials pathway

REPORTED SYMBOLISM / INTERPRETATION / ENGINEERING

REPORTED CUE

Symbols and geometries
in Morgan's account [21]



LATER INTERPRETATION

Haemoglobin / chlorophyll
Porphyrin ring chemistry




ENGINEERING QUESTION

Electrically driven membrane
Plasma and containment

MATERIAL CANDIDATES

Porphyrin frameworks
Conductive interfaces
Source poster detail [12]



TEST THE PROPOSAL

Characterise material response
Map field and plasma behaviour
Compare prediction with result

The arrows document idea development. They do not certify the source of a cue.

The reported cue, its later interpretation and the engineering proposal each have a separate history.

The craft is the engine



The active boundary coordinates the vehicle's proposed interaction with its environment.

The NRGscapes concept proposes translation through field and coordinate relationships across space and time.

The proposed operating state depends on sector organisation, timing and feedback.

The architecture is developed. The full mobility mechanism remains a research proposition.


An active membrane needs a measurable function

Material candidates

Porphyrin frameworks and other active materials enter the architecture through specified electrical and interface roles.

A functional link

A known stimulus must produce a repeatable response. A panel must retain that response when coordinated with other sectors.




Composition alone does not recover the operating state.

Sector organisation and proposed translation



Symmetric boundary

What accounts for stable position in a gravitational environment?




Asymmetric boundary

Does re-indexing the sectors produce the motion the model predicts?

Energy capacity and phase authority serve different roles

POWER AND COMMAND / TWO PATHS TO THE BOUNDARY



Regulated backbone

Conventional power can test control. It does not prove extraordinary coupling.

More available power cannot replace timing, synchronisation or coordinated control.


Similar appearances can have different mechanisms

Boundary appearance alone cannot choose among these candidate explanations.




Toroidal resonance

Field topology, losses and stability



Phase gradients

Response to reversed sector commands



Impedance matching

Energy exchange with a specified medium



Field lensing

Propagation effects separated from sensor artefacts

The proposed architecture spans different environments



Atmosphere and water

Pressure, flow, thermal response and transitions between media.

Orbit and vacuum

Available coupling, heat rejection, radiation and trajectory control.

Interstellar scope

An enabling mechanism, transit accounting and mission endurance.

The experimental pathway makes functions testable



Resonant bench rig

Map boundary state against drive and phase.



Controlled chamber

Separate coupling from pressure, discharge and sensor effects.



Tethered article

Measure motion, energy and conventional contributions.

A reproducible subsystem effect would advance engineering. Its origin would remain a separate investigation.

The consequential link is a traceable engineering pathway

Grusch's discourse

Alleged access to recovered technology raises questions about provenance, occupants and hidden operating conditions.

NRGscapes' contribution

A developed architecture gives those questions concrete subsystem roles and proposed experiments.

Reported encounters helped shape aspects of the concepts. Testing can determine which proposed functions survive measurement.

The next step is evidence that connects a commanded state to a reproducible result.

Sources and artwork references

[1] David Grusch written statement

oversight.house.gov

[2] July 2023 House hearing transcript

www.govinfo.gov

[3] DIA FOIA electronic reading room

www.dia.mil

[4] House hearing document repository

docs.house.gov

[5] AARO Historical Record Report Volume I

www.aaro.mil

[6] AARO UAP records

www.aaro.mil

[7] Restoring Public Trust Through UAP Transparency

oversight.house.gov

[8] NRGscapes Engineering

nrgscapes.com

[9] Boundary Coupled Mobility Systems

nrgscapes.com

[10] Physics and Mechanisms

nrgscapes.com

[11] The warp drive hyper fast travel within general relativity

arxiv.org

Sources and artwork references continued

[12] Integrated Engineering Synthesis

nrgscapes.com

[13] Architecture 1 Boundary Coupled Mobility

nrgscapes.com

[14] Architecture 2 Energy Is Not the Same as Control

nrgscapes.com

[15] Architecture 4 What Would Successful Testing Mean

nrgscapes.com

[16] Experimental Development Pathway

nrgscapes.com

[17] The Joe Rogan Experience 2560 David Grusch

www.youtube.com

[18] Interview transcript and listening locators

podscripts.co

[19] Structured Patterns in Anomalous Experience

limina.scholasticahq.com

[20] From UAP and NHI Encounter Research to Falsifiable Engineering

nrgscapes.com

[21] Morgan account of symbolism and porphyryin inquiry

www.youtube.com